# Some applications of Kummer and Stickelberger relations

# Roland Quême

# 2006april19

# Contents

1	Some definitions	3
2	On Kummer and Stickelberger relation  2.1 On the structure of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q})$	10
3	Explicit polynomial congruences $\mod p$ connected to the $p$ -class group	15
4	Singular primary numbers and Stickelberger relation 4.1 The case of $C_p^-$	18 18 19
5	Stickelberger's relation for prime ideals q of inertial degree $f > 1$ .	20

### Abstract

Roland Quême 13 avenue du château d'eau 31490 Brax France

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{tel} : 0561067020 \\ \text{cel} : 0684728729 \end{array}$ 

mailto: roland.queme@wanadoo.fr

Let p be an odd prime. Let  $\mathbf{F}_p$  be the finite field of p elements with no null part  $\mathbf{F}_p^*$ . Let  $K_p = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  be the p-cyclotomic field. Let  $\pi$  be the prime ideal of  $K_p$  lying over p. Let v be a primitive root mod p. In the sequel of this paper, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  let us note briefly  $v^n$  for  $v^n$  mod p with  $1 \le v^n \le p-1$ . Let  $\sigma: \zeta_p \to \zeta_p^v$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -isomorphism of  $K_p/\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $G_p$  be the Galois group of  $K_p/\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $P(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sigma^i \times v^{-i}$ ,  $P(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$ .

We suppose that p is an irregular prime. Let  $C_p$  be the p-class group of  $K_p$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $C_p$  of order p annihilated by  $\sigma - \mu$  with  $\mu \in \mathbf{F}_p^*$ . From Kummer, there exist not principal prime ideals  $\mathbf{q}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  of inertial degree 1 with class  $Cl(\mathbf{q}) \in \Gamma$ . Let q be the prime number lying above  $\mathbf{q}$ .

Let n be the smallest natural integer  $1 < n \le p-2$  such that  $\mu \equiv v^n \mod p$  for  $\mu$  defined above. There exist singular numbers A with  $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^p$  and  $\pi^n \mid A - a$  where a is a natural number. If A is singular not primary then  $\pi^n \parallel A - a$  and if A is singular primary then  $\pi^p \mid A - a$ . We prove, by an application of Stickelberger relation to the prime ideal  $\mathbf{q}$ , that now we can climb up to the  $\pi$ -adic congruence:

- 1.  $\pi^{2p-1} \mid A^{P(\sigma)} \text{ if } q \equiv 1 \mod p.$
- 2.  $\pi^{2p-1} \parallel A^{P(\sigma)}$  if  $q \equiv 1 \mod p$  and  $p^{(q-1)/p} \equiv 1 \mod q$ .
- 3.  $\pi^{2p} \mid A^{P(\sigma)} \text{ if } q \not\equiv 1 \mod p.$

This property of  $\pi$ -adic congruences on singular numbers is at the heart of this paper.

1. As a first example, in section 3 p. 15 this  $\pi$ -adic improvement allows us to give an elementary straightforward proof that the relative p-class group  $C_p^-$  verifies the following congruence mod p: with v, m defined above, the congruence

(1) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} v^{(2m+1)(i-1)} \times \left(\frac{v^{-(i-1)} - v^{-i} \times v}{p}\right) \equiv 0 \mod p,$$

is verified for m taking  $r^-$  different values  $m_i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,r^-$  where  $r^-$  is the rank of the relative p-class group  $C_p^-$  (result which can also be proved by annihilation of class group of  $K_p$  by Stickelberger ideal  $\in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$ ). A second example is a straightforward proof that if  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  is odd then the Bernoulli Number  $B_{(p+1)/2} \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ .

- 2. The section 4 p. 18 brings some results on connection between singular primary numbers and the stucture of the p-class group of  $K_p$ .
- 3. In the last section 5 p. 20 we give some explicit congruences derived of Stickel-berger for prime ideals  $\mathbf{q}$  of inertial degree f > 1.

## 1 Some definitions

In this section we give the definitions and notations on cyclotomic fields, *p*-class group, singular numbers, primary and not primary, used in this paper.

- 1. Let p be an odd prime. Let  $\zeta_p$  be a root of the polynomial equation  $X^{p-1} + X^{p-2} + \cdots + X + 1 = 0$ . Let  $K_p$  be the p-cyclotomic field  $K_p = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ . The ring of integers of  $K_p$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ . Let  $K_p^+$  be the maximal totally real subfield of  $K_p$ . The ring of integers of  $K_p^+$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]$  with group of units  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]^*$ . Let v be a primitive root mod p and  $\sigma: \zeta_p \to \zeta_p^v$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -isomorphism of  $K_p$ . Let  $G_p$  be the Galois group of  $K_p/\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\mathbf{F}_p$  be the finite field of cardinal p with no null part  $\mathbf{F}_p^*$ . Let  $\lambda = \zeta_p 1$ . The prime ideal of  $K_p$  lying over p is  $\pi = \lambda \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ .
- 2. Suppose that p is irregular. Let  $C_p$  be the p-class group of  $K_p$ . Let r be the rank of  $C_p$ . Let  $C_p^+$  be the p-class group of  $K_p^+$ . Then  $C_p = C_p^+ \oplus C_p^-$  where  $C_p^-$  is the relative p-class group.
- 3. Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of order p of  $C_p$  annihilated by  $\sigma \mu \in \mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$  with  $\mu \in \mathbf{F}_p^*$ . Then  $\mu \equiv v^n \mod p$  with a natural integer n,  $1 < n \le p - 2$ .
- 4. An integer  $A \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  is said singular if  $A^{1/p} \notin K_p$  and if there exists an ideal **a** of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  such that  $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{a}^p$ .
  - (a) If  $\Gamma \subset C_p^-$ : then there exists singular integers A with  $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{a}^p$  where  $\mathbf{a}$  is a **not** principal ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  verifying simultaneously

(2) 
$$Cl(\mathbf{a}) \in \Gamma,$$

$$\sigma(A) = A^{\mu} \times \alpha^{p}, \quad \mu \in \mathbf{F}_{p}^{*}, \quad \alpha \in K_{p},$$

$$\mu \equiv v^{2m+1} \mod p, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq \frac{p-3}{2},$$

$$\pi^{2m+1} \mid A - a, \quad a \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq p-1,$$

Moreover, this number A verifies

$$(3) A \times \overline{A} = D^p,$$

for some integer  $D \in O_{K_n^+}$ .

- i. This integer A is singular not primary if  $\pi^{2m+1} \parallel A a$ .
- ii. This integer A is singular primary if  $\pi^p \mid A a^p$ .
- (b) If  $\Gamma \subset C_p^+$ : then there exists singular integers A with  $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{a}^p$  where  $\mathbf{a}$  is a **not** principal ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  verifying simultaneously

(4) 
$$Cl(\mathbf{a}) \in \Gamma,$$

$$\sigma(A) = A^{\mu} \times \alpha^{p}, \quad \mu \in \mathbf{F}_{p}^{*}, \quad \alpha \in K_{p},$$

$$\mu \equiv v^{2m} \mod p, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 \le m \le \frac{p-3}{2},$$

$$\pi^{2m} \mid A - a, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 \le a \le p-1,$$

Moreover, this number A verifies

$$\frac{A}{\overline{A}} = D^p,$$

for some number  $D \in K_p^+$ .

- i. This integer A is singular not primary if  $\pi^{2m} \parallel A a$ .
- ii. This number A is singular primary if  $\pi^p \mid A a^p$ .

# 2 On Kummer and Stickelberger relation

- 1. Here we fix a notation for the sequel. Let v be a primitive root  $\mod p$ . For every integer  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $v^k$  is understood  $\mod p$  so  $1 \le v^k \le p-1$ . If k < 0 it is to be understood as  $v^k v^{-k} \equiv 1 \mod p$ .
- 2. Let  $q \neq p$  be an odd prime. Let  $\zeta_q$  be a root of the minimal polynomial equation  $X^{q-1} + X^{q-2} + \cdots + X + 1 = 0$ . Let  $K_q = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$  be the q-cyclotomic field. The ring of integers of  $K_q$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ . Here we fix a notation for the sequel. Let u be a primitive root mod q. For every integer  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $u^k$  is understood mod q so  $1 \leq u^k \leq q 1$ . If k < 0 it is to be understood as  $u^k u^{-k} \equiv 1 \mod q$ . Let  $K_{pq} = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p, \zeta_q)$ . Then  $K_{pq}$  is the compositum  $K_p K_q$ . The ring of integers of  $K_{pq}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{pq}]$ .
- 3. Let **q** be a prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  lying over the prime q. Let  $m = N_{K_p/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{q}) = q^f$  where f is the smallest integer such that  $q^f \equiv 1 \mod p$ . If  $\psi(\alpha) = a$  is the image

of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  under the natural map  $\psi : \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] \to \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]/\mathbf{q}$ , then for  $\psi(\alpha) = a \not\equiv 0$  define a character  $\chi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(p)}$  on  $\mathbf{F}_m = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]/\mathbf{q}$  by

(6) 
$$\chi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(p)}(a) = \left\{\frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{q}}\right\}_p^{-1} = \overline{\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{q}}\right\}_p},$$

where  $\{\frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{q}}\} = \zeta_p^c$  for some natural integer c, is the  $p^{th}$  power residue character mod  $\mathbf{q}$ . We define

(7) 
$$g(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_m} (\chi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(p)}(x) \times \zeta_q^{Tr_{\mathbf{F}_m/\mathbf{F}_q}(x)}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{pq}],$$

and  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q}) = g(\mathbf{q})^p$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{pq}]$ . Moreover  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q}) = g(\mathbf{q})^p \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ , see for instance Mollin [3] prop. 5.88 (c) p. 308 or Ireland-Rosen [1] prop. 14.3.1 (c) p. 208.

The Stickelberger's relation is classically:

**Theorem 2.1.** In  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  we have the ideal decomposition

(8) 
$$\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q})\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^S,$$

with  $S = \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} t \times \varpi_t^{-1}$  where  $\varpi_t \in Gal(K_p/\mathbb{Q})$  is given by  $\varpi_t : \zeta_p \to \zeta_p^t$ .

See for instance Mollin [3] thm. 5.109 p. 315 and Ireland-Rosen [1] thm. 2. p.209.

## 2.1 On the structure of G(q).

In this subsection we are studying carefully the structure of  $g(\mathbf{q})$  and  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q})$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $q \not\equiv 1 \mod p$  then  $g(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ .

Proof.

1. Let u be a primitive root mod q. Let  $\tau: \zeta_q \to \zeta_q^u$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -isomorphism generating  $Gal(K_q/\mathbb{Q})$ . The isomorphism  $\tau$  is extended to a  $K_p$ -isomorphism of  $K_{pq}$  by  $\tau: \zeta_q \to \zeta_q^u$ ,  $\zeta_p \to \zeta_p$ . Then  $g(\mathbf{q})^p = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  and so

$$\tau(g(\mathbf{q}))^p = g(\mathbf{q})^p,$$

and it follows that there exists a natural integer  $\rho$  with  $\rho < p$  such that

$$\tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = \zeta_n^{\rho} \times g(\mathbf{q}).$$

Then  $N_{K_{pq}/K_p}(\tau(g(\mathbf{q}))) = \zeta_p^{(q-1)\rho} \times N_{K_{pq}/K_p}(g(\mathbf{q}))$  and so  $\zeta_p^{\rho(q-1)} = 1$ .

2. If  $q \not\equiv 1 \mod p$ , it implies that  $\zeta_p^{\rho} = 1$  and so that  $\tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = g(\mathbf{q})$  and thus that  $g(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ .

Let us note in the sequel  $g(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} g_i \times \zeta_q^i$  with  $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** If  $q \equiv 1 \mod p$  then  $g_0 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $g_0 \neq 0$  and search for a contradiction: we start of

$$\tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = \zeta_p^{\rho} \times g(\mathbf{q}).$$

We have  $g(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} g_i \times \zeta_q^i$  and so  $\tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} g_i \times \zeta_q^{iu}$ , therefore

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-2} (\zeta_p^{\rho} g_i) \times \zeta_q^i = \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} g_i \times \zeta_q^{iu},$$

thus  $g_0 = \zeta_p^{\rho} \times g_0$  and so  $\zeta_p^{\rho} = 1$  which implies that  $\tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = g(\mathbf{q})$  and so  $g(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ . Then  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q}) = g(\mathbf{q})^p$  and so Stickelberger relation leads to  $g(\mathbf{q})^p \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^S$  where  $S = \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} t \times \varpi_t^{-1}$ . Therefore  $\varpi_1^{-1}(\mathbf{q}) \parallel \mathbf{q}^S$  because q splits totally in  $K_p/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\varpi_t^{-1}(\mathbf{q}) \neq \varpi_{t'}^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$  for  $t \neq t'$ . This case is not possible because the first member  $g(\mathbf{q})^p$  is a p-power.

Here we give an elementary computation of  $g(\mathbf{q})$  not involving directly the Gauss Sums.

**Lemma 2.4.** If  $q \equiv 1 \mod p$  then

(9) 
$$\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q}) = g(\mathbf{q})^{p},$$

$$g(\mathbf{q}) = \zeta_{q} + \zeta_{p}^{\rho} \zeta_{q}^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_{p}^{2\rho} \zeta_{q}^{u^{-2}} + \dots \zeta_{p}^{(q-2)\rho} \zeta_{q}^{u^{-(q-2)}},$$

$$g(\mathbf{q})^{p} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p}] = \mathbf{q}^{S},$$

for some natural number  $\rho$ ,  $1 < \rho \le p - 1$ .

Proof.

1. We start of  $\tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = \zeta_p^{\rho} \times g(\mathbf{q})$  and so

(10) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{q-2} g_i \zeta_q^{ui} = \zeta_p^{\rho} \times \sum_{i=1}^{q-2} g_i \zeta_q^i,$$

which implies that  $g_i = g_1 \zeta_p^{\rho}$  for  $u \times i \equiv 1 \mod q$  and so  $g_{u^{-1}} = g_1 \zeta_p^{\rho}$  (where  $u^{-1}$  is to be understood by  $u^{-1} \mod q$ , so  $1 \le u^{-1} \le q - 1$ ).

2. Then  $\tau^2(g(\mathbf{q})) = \tau(\zeta_p^{\rho}g(\mathbf{q})) = \zeta_p^{2\rho}g(\mathbf{q})$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q-2} g_i \zeta_q^{u^2 i} = \zeta_p^{2\rho} \times (\sum_{i=1}^{q-2} g_i \zeta_q^i),$$

which implies that  $g_i = g_1 \zeta_p^{2\rho}$  for  $u^2 \times i \equiv 1 \mod q$  and so  $g_{u^{-2}} = g_1 \zeta_p^{2\rho}$ .

3. We continue up to  $\tau^{(q-2)\rho}(g(\mathbf{q})) = \tau^{q-3}(\zeta_p^{\rho}g(\mathbf{q})) = \cdots = \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho}g(\mathbf{q})$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q-2} g_i \zeta_q^{u^{q-2}i} = \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho} \times (\sum_{i=1}^{q-2} g_i \zeta_q^i),$$

which implies that  $g_i = g_1 \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho}$  for  $u^{q-2} \times i \equiv 1 \mod q$  and so  $g_{u^{-(q-2)}} = g_1 \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho}$ .

- 4. Observe that u is a primitive root  $\mod q$  and so  $u^{-1}$  is a primitive root  $\mod q$ . Then it follows that  $g(\mathbf{q}) = g_1 \times (\zeta_q + \zeta_p^\rho \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_p^{2\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}})$ . Let  $U = \zeta_q + \zeta_p^\rho \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_p^{2\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}}$ .
- 5. We prove now that  $g_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]^*$ . From Stickelberger relation  $g_1^p \times U^p = \mathbf{q}^S$ . From  $S = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \varpi_t^{-1} \times t$  it follows that  $\varpi_t^{-1}(\mathbf{q})^t \parallel \mathbf{q}^S$  and so that  $g_1 \not\equiv 0 \mod \varpi_t^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$  because  $g_1^p$  is a p-power, which implies that  $g_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]^*$ . Let us consider the relation(7). Let  $x = 1 \in \mathbf{F}_q$ , then  $Tr_{\mathbf{F}_q/\mathbf{F}_q}(x) = 1$  and  $\chi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(p)}(1) = 1^{(q-1)/p} \mod \mathbf{q} = 1$  and thus the coefficient of  $\zeta_q$  is 1 and so  $g_1 = 1$ .

6. From Stickelberger,  $g(\mathbf{q})^p \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^S$ , which achieves the proof.

Remark: From

$$g(\mathbf{q}) = \zeta_{q} + \zeta_{p}^{\rho} \zeta_{q}^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_{p}^{2\rho} \zeta_{q}^{u^{-2}} + \dots + \zeta_{p}^{(q-2)\rho} \zeta_{q}^{u^{-(q-2)}},$$

$$(11) \qquad \Rightarrow \tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = \zeta_{q}^{u} + \zeta_{p}^{\rho} \zeta_{q} + \zeta_{p}^{2\rho} \zeta_{q}^{u^{-1}} + \dots + \zeta_{p}^{(q-2)\rho} \zeta_{q}^{u^{-(q-3)}},$$

$$\Rightarrow \zeta^{\rho} \times g(\mathbf{q}) = \zeta^{\rho} \zeta_{q} + \zeta_{p}^{2\rho} \zeta_{q}^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_{p}^{3\rho} \zeta_{q}^{u^{-2}} + \dots + \zeta_{p}^{(q-1)\rho} \zeta_{q}^{u^{-(q-2)}}$$

and we can verify directly that  $\tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = \zeta_p^{\rho} \times g(\mathbf{q})$  for this expression of  $g(\mathbf{q})$ , observing that  $q - 1 \equiv 0 \mod p$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $S = \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} \varpi_t^{-1} \times t$  where  $\varpi_t$  is the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -isomorphism given by  $\varpi_t$ :  $\zeta_p \to \zeta_p^t$  of  $K_p$ . Let v be a primitive root mod p. Let  $\sigma$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -isomorphism of  $K_p$  given by  $\zeta_p \to \zeta_p^v$ . Let  $P(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sigma^i \times v^{-i} \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$ . Then  $S = P(\sigma)$ .

Proof. Let us consider one term  $\varpi_t^{-1} \times t$ . Then  $v^{-1} = v^{p-2}$  is a primitive root mod p because p-2 and p-1 are coprime and so there exists one and one i such that  $t = v^{-i}$ . Then  $\varpi_{v^{-i}} : \zeta_p \to \zeta_p^{v^{-i}}$  and so  $\varpi_{v^{-i}}^{-1} : \zeta_p \to \zeta_p^{v^i}$  and so  $\varpi_{v^{-i}}^{-1} = \sigma^i$  (observe that  $\sigma^{p-1} \times v^{-(p-1)} = 1$ ), which achieves the proof.

**Remark**: The previous lemma is a verification of the consistency of results in Ribenboim [5] p. 118, of Mollin [3] p. 315 and of Ireland-Rosen p. 209 with our computation. In the sequel we use Ribenboim notation more adequate for the factorization in  $\mathbf{F}_p[G]$ . In that case the Stickelberger's relation is connected with the Kummer's relation on Jacobi resolvents, see for instance Ribenboim, [5] (2A) b. p. 118 and (2C) relation (2.6) p. 119.

## **Lemma 2.6.** If $q \equiv 1 \mod p$ then

- 1.  $g(\mathbf{q})$  defined in relation (9) is a Jacobi resolvent:  $g(\mathbf{q}) = \langle \zeta_p^{\rho}, \zeta_q \rangle$ .
- 2.  $\rho = -v$ .

## Proof.

- 1. Apply formula of Ribenboim [5] (2.2) p. 118 with  $p = p, q = q, \zeta = \zeta_p$ ,  $\rho = \zeta_q$ ,  $n = \rho$ , u = i, m = 1 and  $h = u^{-1}$  (where the left members notations  $p, q, \zeta, \rho, n, u, m$  and h are the Ribenboim notations).
- 2. We start of  $<\zeta_p^\rho, \zeta_q>=g(\mathbf{q})$ . Then v is a primitive root  $\mathrm{mod}\ p$ , so there exists a natural integer l such that  $\rho\equiv v^l$   $\mathrm{mod}\ p$ . By conjugation  $\sigma^{-l}$  we get  $<\zeta_p,\zeta_q>=g(\mathbf{q})^{\sigma^{-l}}$ . Raising to p-power  $<\zeta_p,\zeta_q>^p=g(\mathbf{q})^{p\sigma^{-l}}$ . From lemma 2.5 and Stickelberger relation  $<\zeta_p,\zeta_q>^p\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]=\mathbf{q}^{P(\sigma)\sigma^{-l}}$ . From Kummer's relation (2.6) p. 119 in Ribenboim [5], we get  $<\zeta_p,\zeta_q>^p\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]=\mathbf{q}^{P_1(\sigma)}$  with  $P_1(\sigma)=\sum_{j=0}^{p-2}\sigma^jv^{(p-1)/2-j}$ . Therefore  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-2}\sigma^{i-l}v^{-i}=\sum_{j=0}^{p-2}\sigma^jv^{(p-1)/2-j}$ . Then  $i-l\equiv j\mod p$  and  $-i\equiv \frac{p-1}{2}-j\mod p$  (or  $i\equiv j-\frac{p-1}{2}\mod p$ ) imply that  $j-\frac{p-1}{2}-l\equiv j\mod p$ , so  $l+\frac{p-1}{2}\equiv 0\mod p$ , so  $l\equiv -\frac{p-1}{2}\mod p$ , and  $l\equiv \frac{p+1}{2}\mod p$ , thus  $\rho\equiv v^{(p+1)/2}\mod p$  and finally  $\rho=-v$ .

**Remark**: The previous lemma allows to verify the consistency of our computation with Jacobi resultents used in Kummer (see Ribenboim p. 118-119).

**Lemma 2.7.** If  $\mathbf{q} \equiv 1 \mod p$  then  $g(\mathbf{q}) \equiv -1 \mod \pi$ .

Proof. From  $g(\mathbf{q}) = \zeta_q + \zeta_p^\rho \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_p^{2\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots + \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}}$ , we see that  $g(\mathbf{q}) \equiv \zeta_q + \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots + \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}} \mod \pi$ . From  $u^{-1}$  primitive root mod p it follows that  $1 + \zeta_q + \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots + \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}} = 0$ , which leads to the result.  $\square$ 

It is possible to improve the previous result to:

**Lemma 2.8.** Suppose that  $q \equiv 1 \mod p$ . If  $p^{(q-1)/p} \not\equiv 1 \mod q$  then  $\pi^p \parallel g(\mathbf{q})^p + 1$ . Proof.

1. We start of  $g(\mathbf{q}) = \zeta_q + \zeta_p^{\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_p^{2\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}}$ , so  $g(\mathbf{q}) = \zeta_q + ((\zeta_p^{\rho} - 1) + 1) \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + ((\zeta_p^{2\rho} - 1) + 1) \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots ((\zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho} - 1) + 1) \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}}$ also

$$g(\mathbf{q}) = -1 + (\zeta_p^{\rho} - 1)\zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + (\zeta_p^{2\rho} - 1)\zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots + (\zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho} - 1)\zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}}.$$

Then  $\zeta_p^{i\rho} \equiv 1 + i\rho\lambda \mod \pi^2$ , so

$$g(\mathbf{q}) \equiv -1 + \lambda \times (\rho \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + 2\rho \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots + (q-2)\rho) \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}}) \mod \lambda^2.$$

Then  $g(\mathbf{q}) = -1 + \lambda U + \lambda^2 V$  with  $U = \rho \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + 2\rho \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots + (q-2)\rho \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}}$  and  $U, V \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{pq}]$ .

2. Suppose that  $\pi^{p+1} \mid g(\mathbf{q})^p + 1$  and search for a contradiction: then, from  $g(\mathbf{q})^p = (-1 + \lambda U + \lambda^2 V)^p$ , it follows that  $p\lambda U + \lambda^p U^p \equiv 0 \mod \pi^{p+1}$  and so  $U^p - U \equiv 0 \mod \pi$  because  $p\lambda + \lambda^p \equiv 0 \mod \pi^{p+1}$ . Therefore

$$(\rho \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + 2\rho \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots + (q-2)\rho) \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}})^p - (\rho \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + 2\rho \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots + (q-2)\rho) \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}}) \equiv 0 \mod \lambda,$$

and so

$$(\rho \zeta_q^{pu^{-1}} + 2\rho \zeta_q^{pu^{-2}} + \dots + (q-2)\rho) \zeta_q^{pu^{-(q-2)}})$$
$$- (\rho \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + 2\rho \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots + (q-2)\rho) \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}}) \equiv 0 \mod \lambda.$$

3. For any natural j with  $1 \le j \le q - 2$ , there must exist a natural j' with  $1 \le j' \le q - 2$  such that simultaneously:

$$pu^{-j'} \equiv u^{-j} \mod q \Rightarrow p \equiv u^{j'-j} \mod q,$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \rho j' \equiv \rho j \mod \pi \Rightarrow j' - j \equiv 0 \mod p.$ 

Therefore  $p \equiv u^{p \times \{(j'-j)/p\}} \mod q$  and so  $p^{(q-1)/p} \equiv u^{p \times (q-1)/p) \times \{(j'-j)/p\}} \mod q$  thus  $p^{(q-1)/p} \equiv 1 \mod q$ , contradiction.

# **2.2** A study of polynomial $P(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sigma^i v^{-i}$ of $\mathbb{Z}[G_p]$ .

Recall that  $P(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$  has been defined by  $P(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sigma^i v^{-i}$ .

## Lemma 2.9.

(12) 
$$P(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sigma^i \times v^{-i} = v^{-(p-2)} \times \{ \prod_{k=0, k \neq 1}^{p-2} (\sigma - v^k) \} + p \times R(\sigma),$$

where  $R(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$  with  $deg(R(\sigma)) .$ 

Proof. Let us consider the polynomial  $R_0(\sigma) = P(\sigma) - v^{-(p-2)} \times \{\prod_{k=0, \ k\neq 1}^{p-2} (\sigma - v^k)\}$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$ . Then  $R_0(\sigma)$  is of degree smaller than p-2 and the two polynomials  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sigma^i v^{-i}$  and  $\prod_{k=0, \ k\neq 1}^{p-2} (\sigma - v^k)$  take a null value in  $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$  when  $\sigma$  takes the p-2 different values  $\sigma = v^k$  for  $k = 0, \ldots, p-2, \quad k \neq 1$ . Then  $R_0(\sigma) = 0$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$  which leads to the result in  $\mathbb{Z}[G_p]$ .

Let us note in the sequel

(13) 
$$T(\sigma) = v^{-(p-2)} \times \prod_{k=0, k \neq 1}^{p-2} (\sigma - v^k).$$

## Lemma 2.10.

(14) 
$$P(\sigma) \times (\sigma - v) = T(\sigma) \times (\sigma - v) + pR(\sigma) \times (\sigma - v) = p \times Q(\sigma),$$

where  $Q(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \delta_i \times \sigma^i \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$  is given by

$$\delta_{p-2} = \frac{v^{-(p-3)} - v^{-(p-2)}v}{p},$$

$$\delta_{p-3} = \frac{v^{-(p-4)} - v^{-(p-3)}v}{p},$$

(15) 
$$\delta_i = \frac{v^{-(i-1)} - v^{-i}v}{p},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1 - v^{-1}v}{p},$$

with  $-p < \delta_i \le 0$ .

*Proof.* We start of the relation in  $\mathbb{Z}[G_p]$ 

$$P(\sigma) \times (\sigma - v) = v^{-(p-2)} \times \prod_{k=0}^{p-2} (\sigma - v^k) + p \times R(\sigma) \times (\sigma - v) = p \times Q(\sigma),$$

with  $Q(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$  because  $\prod_{k=0}^{p-2} (\sigma - v^k) = 0$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$  and so  $\prod_{k=0}^{p-2} (\sigma - v^k) = p \times R_1(\sigma)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[G_p]$ . Then we identify in  $\mathbb{Z}[G_p]$  the coefficients in the relation

$$(v^{-(p-2)}\sigma^{p-2} + v^{-(p-3)}\sigma^{p-3} + \dots + v^{-1}\sigma + 1) \times (\sigma - v) = p \times (\delta_{p-2}\sigma^{p-2} + \delta_{p-3}\sigma^{p-3} + \dots + \delta_1\sigma + \delta_0),$$

where 
$$\sigma^{p-1} = 1$$
.

## Remark:

- 1. Observe that, with our notations,  $\delta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , i = 1, ..., p-2, but generally  $\delta_i \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ .
- 2. We see also that  $-p < \delta_i \le 0$ . Observe also that  $\delta_0 = \frac{v^{-(p-2)}-v}{p} = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.11.** The polynomial  $Q(\sigma)$  verifies

(16) 
$$Q(\sigma) = \{ (1 - \sigma) (\sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} \delta_i \times \sigma^i) + (1 - v) \sigma^{(p-1)/2} \} \times (\sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} \sigma^i).$$

*Proof.* We start of  $\delta_i = \frac{v^{-(i-1)} - v^{-i}v}{p}$ . Then

$$\delta_{i+(p-1)/2} = \frac{v^{-(i+(p-1)/2-1)} - v^{-(i+(p-1)/2)}}{p} = \frac{p - v^{-(i-1)} - (p - v^{-i})v}{p} = 1 - v - \delta_i.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} Q(\sigma) &= \sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} (\delta_i \times (\sigma^i - \sigma^{i+(p-1)/2} + (1-v)\sigma^{i+(p-1)/2}) \\ &= (\sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} \delta_i \times \sigma^i) \times (1-\sigma^{(p-1)/2}) + (1-v) \times \sigma^{(p-1)/2} \times (\sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} \sigma^i), \end{split}$$

which leads to the result.

### 2.3 $\pi$ -adic congruences on the singular integers A

From now we suppose that the prime ideal  $\mathbf{q}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  has a class  $Cl(\mathbf{q}) \in \Gamma$  where  $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of order p of  $C_p$  previously defined, with a singular integer A given by  $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^p$ .

In an other part, we know that the group of ideal classes of the cyclotomic field is generated by the ideal classes of prime ideals of degree 1, see for instance Ribenboim, [5] (3A) p. 119.

Lemma 2.12. 
$$\left(\frac{g(\mathbf{q})}{g(\mathbf{q})}\right)^{p^2} = \left(\frac{\underline{A}}{\overline{A}}\right)^{P(\sigma)}$$
.

*Proof.* We start of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q})\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = g(\mathbf{q})^p\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^S$ . Raising to p-power we get  $g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2}\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = g(\mathbf{q})^p\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  $\mathbf{q}^{pS}$ . But  $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^p$ , so

(17) 
$$g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = A^S \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p],$$

SO

(18) 
$$g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} \times \zeta_p^w \times \eta = A^S, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]^*,$$

where w is a natural number. Therefore, by complex conjugation, we get  $\overline{g(\mathbf{q})}^{p^2} \times \zeta_p^{-w} \times \eta = \overline{A}^S$ . Then  $(\frac{g(\mathbf{q})}{g(\mathbf{q})})^{p^2} \times \zeta_p^{2w} = (\frac{A}{A})^S$ . From  $A \equiv a \mod \pi^{2m+1}$  with a natural integer, we get  $\frac{A}{\overline{A}} \equiv 1 \mod \pi^{2m+1}$  and so w = 0. Then  $(\frac{g(\mathbf{q})}{g(\mathbf{q})})^{p^2} = (\frac{A}{\overline{A}})^S$ . 

**Remark:** Observe that this lemma is true if either  $q \equiv 1 \mod p$  or  $q \not\equiv 1 \mod p$ .

## Theorem 2.13.

1. 
$$g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} = \pm A^{P(\sigma)}$$
.

2. 
$$g(\mathbf{q})^{p(\sigma-1)(\sigma-v)} = \pm (\frac{\overline{A}}{A})^{Q_1(\sigma)}$$
 where

$$Q_1(\sigma) = (1 - \sigma) \times (\sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} \delta_i \times \sigma^i) + (1 - v) \times \sigma^{(p-1)/2}.$$

Proof.

1. We start of  $g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} \times \eta = A^{P(\sigma)}$  proved. Then  $g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2(\sigma-1)(\sigma-v)} \times \eta^{(\sigma-1)(\sigma-v)} =$  $A^{P(\sigma)(\sigma-1)(\sigma-v)}$ . From lemma 2.11, we get

$$P(\sigma) \times (\sigma - v) \times (\sigma - 1) = p \times Q_1(\sigma) \times (\sigma^{(p-1)/2} - 1),$$

where

$$Q_1(\sigma) = (1 - \sigma) \times (\sum_{i=0}^{(p-3)/2} \delta_i \times \sigma^i) + (1 - v) \times \sigma^{(p-1)/2}.$$

Therefore

(19) 
$$g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2(\sigma-1)(\sigma-v)} \times \eta^{(\sigma-1)(\sigma-v)} = (\frac{\overline{A}}{A})^{pQ_1(\sigma)},$$

and by conjugation

$$\overline{g(\mathbf{q})}^{p^2(\sigma-1)(\sigma-v)}\times \eta^{(\sigma-1)(\sigma-v)}=(\frac{A}{\overline{A}})^{pQ_1(\sigma)}.$$

Multiplying these two relations we get, observing that  $g(\mathbf{q}) \times \overline{g(\mathbf{q})} = q^f$ ,

$$q^{fp^2(\sigma-1)(\sigma-v)} \times \eta^{2(\sigma-1)(\sigma-v)} = 1,$$

also

$$\eta^{2(\sigma-1)(\sigma-v)} = 1,$$

and thus  $\eta = \pm 1$  because  $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]^*$ , which with relation (19) get  $g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} = \pm A^{P(\sigma)}$  achieves the proof of the first part.

2. From relation (19) we get

(20) 
$$g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2(\sigma-1)(\sigma-v)} = \pm \left(\frac{\overline{A}}{A}\right)^{pQ_1(\sigma)},$$

SO

(21) 
$$g(\mathbf{q})^{p(\sigma-1)(\sigma-v)} = \pm \zeta_p^w \times (\frac{\overline{A}}{A})^{Q_1(\sigma)},$$

where w is a natural number. But  $g(\mathbf{q})^{\sigma-v} \in K_p$  and so  $g(\mathbf{q})^{p(\sigma-v)(\sigma-1)} \in (K_p)^p$ , see for instance Ribenboim [5] (2A) b. p. 118. and  $(\frac{\overline{A}}{A})^{Q_1(\sigma)} \in (K_p)^p$  because  $\sigma - \mu \mid Q_1(\sigma)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$  imply that w = 0, which achieves the proof of the second part.

## Remarks

- 1. Observe that this theorem is true either  $q \equiv 1 \mod p$  or  $q \not\equiv 1 \mod p$ .
- 2.  $g(\mathbf{q}) \equiv -1 \mod \pi$  implies that  $g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} \equiv -1 \mod \pi$ . Observe that if  $A \equiv a \mod \pi$  with a natural number then  $A^{P(\sigma)} \equiv a^{1+v^{-1}+\cdots+v^{-(p-2)}} = a^{p(p-1)/2} \mod \pi \equiv \pm 1 \mod \pi$  consistent with previous result.

**Lemma 2.14.** Let  $q \neq p$  be an odd prime. Let f be the smallest integer such that  $q^f \equiv 1 \mod p$ . If f is even then  $g(\mathbf{q}) = \pm \zeta_p^w q^{f/2}$  for w a natural number.

## Proof.

- 1. Let  $\mathbf{q}$  be a prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  lying over q. From f even we get  $\mathbf{q} = \overline{\mathbf{q}}$ . As in first section there exists singular numbers A such that  $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^p$ .
- 2. From  $\mathbf{q} = \overline{\mathbf{q}}$  we can choose  $A \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]$  and so  $A = \overline{A}$ .
- 3. we have  $g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} = \pm A^{P(\sigma)}$ . From lemma 2.2 p. 5, we know that  $g(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ .
- 4. By complex conjugation  $\overline{g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2}} = \pm A^{P(\sigma)}$ . Then  $g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} = \overline{g(\mathbf{q})}^{p^2}$ .
- 5. Therefore  $g(\mathbf{q})^p = \zeta_p^{w_2} \times \overline{g(\mathbf{q})}^p$  with  $w_2$  natural number. As  $g(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  this implies that  $w_2 = 0$  and so  $g(\mathbf{q})^p = \overline{g(\mathbf{q})}^p$ . Therefore  $g(\mathbf{q}) = \zeta_p^{w_3} \times \overline{g(\mathbf{q})}$  with  $w_3$  natural number. But  $g(\mathbf{q}) \times \overline{g(\mathbf{q})} = q^f$  results of properties of power residue Gauss sums, see for instance Mollin prop 5.88 (b) p. 308. Therefore  $g(\mathbf{q})^2 = \zeta_p^{w_3} \times q^f$  and so  $(g(\mathbf{q}) \times \zeta_p^{-w_3/2})^2 = q^f$  and thus  $g(\mathbf{q}) \times \zeta_p^{-w_3/2} = \pm q^{f/2}$  wich achieves the proof.

## Theorem 2.15.

- 1. If  $q \equiv 1 \mod p$  then  $A^{P(\sigma)} \equiv \delta \mod \pi^{2p-1}$  with  $\delta \in \{-1, 1\}$ .
- 2. If and only if  $q \equiv 1 \mod p$  and  $p^{(q-1)/p} \equiv 1 \mod q$  then  $\pi^{2p-1} \parallel A^{P(\sigma)} \delta$  with  $\delta \in \{-1, 1\}$ .
- 3. If  $q \not\equiv 1 \mod p$  then  $A^{P(\sigma)} \equiv \delta \mod \pi^{2p}$  with  $\delta \in \{-1, 1\}$ .

## Proof.

- 1. From lemma 2.7, we get  $\pi^p \mid g(\mathbf{q})^p + 1$  and so  $\pi^{2p-1} \mid g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} + 1$ . Then apply theorem 2.13.
- 2. Applying lemma 2.8 we get  $\pi^p \parallel g(\mathbf{q})^p + 1$  and so  $\pi^{2p-1} \parallel g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} + 1$ . Then apply theorem 2.13.
- 3. From lemma 2.2, then  $g(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  and so  $\pi^{p+1} \mid g(\mathbf{q})^p + 1$  and also  $\pi^{2p} \mid g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} + 1$ .

**Remark:** If  $C \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  is any semi-primary number with  $C \equiv c \mod \pi^2$  with c natural number we can only assert in general that  $C^{P(\sigma)} \equiv \pm 1 \mod \pi^{p-1}$ . For the singular numbers A considered here we assert more:  $A^{P(\sigma)} \equiv \pm 1 \mod \pi^{2p-1}$ . We shall use this  $\pi$ -adic improvement in the sequel.

# 3 Explicit polynomial congruences $\mod p$ connected to the p-class group

We deal of explicit polynomial congruences connected to the p-class group when p not divides the class number  $h^+$  of  $K_p^+$ .

- 1. We know that the relative p-class group  $C_p^- = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r-} \Gamma_k$  where  $\Gamma_k$  are groups of order p annihilated by  $\sigma \mu_k$ ,  $\mu_k \equiv v^{2m_k+1} \mod p$ ,  $1 \leq m_k \leq \frac{p-3}{2}$ . Let us consider the singular numbers  $A_k$ ,  $k = 1, \ldots, r^-$ , with  $\pi^{2m_k+1} \mid A_k \alpha_k$  with  $\alpha_k$  natural number defined in lemmas 2.9 and 2.10. From Kummer, the group of ideal classes of  $K_p$  is generated by the classes of prime ideals of degree 1 (see for instance Ribenboim [5] (3A) p. 119).
- 2. In this section we shall explicit a connection between the polynomial  $Q(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$  and the structure of the relative p-class group  $C_p^-$  of  $K_p$ .
- 3. As another example we shall give an elementary proof in a straightforward way that if  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  is odd then the Bernoulli Number  $B_{(p+1)/2} \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let p be an odd prime. Let v be a primitive root  $mod\ p$ . For  $k = 1, ..., r^-$  rank of the p-class group of  $K_p$  then

(22) 
$$Q(v^{2m_k+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} v^{(2m_k+1)\times i} \times (\frac{v^{-(i-1)} - v^{-i} \times v}{p}) \equiv 0 \mod p,$$

(or an other formulation  $\prod_{k=1}^{r^-} (\sigma - v^{2m_k+1})$  divides  $Q(\sigma)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$ ).

Proof.

1. Let us fix A for one the singular numbers  $A_k$  with  $\pi^{2m+1} \parallel A - \alpha$  with  $\alpha$  natural number equivalent to  $\pi^{2m+1} \parallel (\frac{A}{4} - 1)$ , equivalent to

$$\frac{A}{\overline{A}} = 1 + \lambda^{2m+1} \times a, \quad a \in K_p, \quad v_{\pi}(a) = 0.$$

Then raising to p-power we get  $(\frac{A}{A})^p = (1+\lambda^{2m+1}\times a)^p \equiv 1+p\lambda^{2m+1}a \mod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$  and so  $\pi^{p-1+2m+1} \parallel (\frac{A}{A})^p - 1$ .

2. From theorem 2.15 we get

$$\left(\frac{A}{\overline{A}}\right)^{P(\sigma)\times(\sigma-v)} = \left(\frac{A}{\overline{A}}\right)^{pQ(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \mod \pi^{2p-1}.$$

We have shown that

$$(\frac{A}{\overline{A}})^p = 1 + \lambda^{p-1+2m+1}b, \quad b \in K_p, \quad v_{\pi}(b) = 0,$$

then

(23) 
$$(1 + \lambda^{p-1+2m+1}b)^{Q(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \mod \pi^{2p-1}.$$

3. But  $1+\lambda^{p-1+2m+1}b \equiv 1+p\lambda^{2m+1}b_1 \mod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$  with  $b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b_1 \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ . There exists a natural integer n not divisible by p such that

$$(1+p\lambda^{2m+1}b_1)^n \equiv 1+p\lambda^{2m+1} \mod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$$
.

Therefore

$$(24) \qquad (1+p\lambda^{2m+1}b_1)^{nQ(\sigma)} \equiv (1+p\lambda^{2m+1})^{Q(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \mod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$$

4. Show that the possibility of climbing up the step  $\mod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$  implies that  $\sigma - v^{2m+1}$  divides  $Q(\sigma)$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$ : we have  $(1 + p\lambda^{2m+1})^{\sigma} = 1 + p\sigma(\lambda^{2m+1}) = 1 + p((\lambda + 1)^v - 1)^{2m+1} \equiv 1 + pv^{2m+1}\lambda^{2m+1} \mod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$ . In an other part  $(1 + p\lambda^{2m+1})^{v^{2m+1}} \equiv 1 + pv^{2m+1}\lambda^{2m+1} \mod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$ . Therefore

(25) 
$$(1+p\lambda^{2m+1})^{\sigma-v^{2m+1}} \equiv 1 \mod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}.$$

5. By euclidean division of  $Q(\sigma)$  by  $\sigma - v^{2m+1}$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$ , we get

$$Q(\sigma) = (\sigma - v^{2m+1})Q_1(\sigma) + R$$

with  $R \in \mathbf{F}_p$ . From congruence (24) and (25) it follows that  $(1 + p\lambda^{2m+1})^R \equiv 1 \mod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$  and so that  $1+pR\lambda^{2m+1} \equiv 1 \mod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$  and finally that R=0. Then in  $\mathbf{F}_p$  we have  $Q(\sigma)=(\sigma-v^{2m+1})\times Q_1(\sigma)$  and so  $Q(v^{2m+1})\equiv 0 \mod p$ , or explicitly

$$Q(v^{2m+1}) = v^{(2m+1)(p-2)} \times \frac{v^{-(p-3)} - v^{-(p-2)}v}{p} + v^{(2m+1)(p-3)} \times \frac{v^{-(p-4)} - v^{-(p-3)}v}{p} + \dots + v^{2m+1} \times \frac{1 - v^{-1}v}{p} \equiv 0 \mod p,$$

which achieves the proof.

## Remarks:

1. Observe that it is the  $\pi$ -adic theorem 2.15 connected to Kummer-Stickelberger which allows to obtain this result.

- 2. Observe that  $\delta_i$  can also be written in the form  $\delta_i = -\left[\frac{v^{-i} \times v}{p}\right]$  where [x] is the integer part of x, similar form also known in the literature.
- 3. Observe that it is possible to get other polynomials of  $\mathbb{Z}[G_p]$  annihilating the relative p-class group  $C_p^-$ : for instance from Kummer's formula on Jacobi cyclotomic functions we induce other polynomials  $Q_d(\sigma)$  annihilating the relative p-class group  $C_p^-$  of  $K_p$ : If  $1 \le d \le p-2$  define the set

$$I_d = \{i \mid 0 \le i \le p - 2, \quad v^{(p-1)/2 - i} + v^{(p-1)/2 - i + ind_v(d)} > p\}$$

where  $ind_v(d)$  is the minimal integer s such that  $d \equiv v^s \mod p$ . Then the polynomials  $Q_d(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in I_d} \sigma^i$  for  $d = 1, \ldots, p-2$  annihilate the p-class  $C_p$  of  $K_p$ , see for instance Ribenboim [5] relations (2.4) and (2.5) p. 119.

- 4. See also in a more general context Washington, [7] corollary 10.15 p. 198.
- 5. It is easy to verify the consistency of relation (22) with the table of irregular primes and Bernoulli numbers in Washington, [7] p. 410.

An immediate consequence is an explicit criterium for p to be a regular prime:

**Corollary 3.2.** Let p be an odd prime. Let v be a primitive root mod p. If the congruence

(26) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} X^{i-1} \times (\frac{v^{-(i-1)} - v^{-i} \times v}{p}) \equiv 0 \mod p$$

has no solution X in  $\mathbb{Z}$  with  $X^{(p-1)/2} + 1 \equiv 0 \mod p$  then the prime p is regular.

We give as another example a straightforward proof of following lemma on Bernoulli Numbers (compare elementary nature of this proof with proof hinted by Washington in exercise 5.9 p. 85 using Siegel-Brauer theorem).

**Lemma 3.3.** If  $2m+1=\frac{p-1}{2}$  is odd then the Bernoulli Number  $B_{(p+1)/2}\not\equiv 0 \mod p$ .

*Proof.* From previous corollary it follows that if  $B_{(p+1)/2} \equiv 0 \mod p$  implies that  $\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} v^{(2m+1)i} \times \delta^i \equiv 0 \mod p$  where  $2m+1 = \frac{p-1}{2}$  because  $v^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \mod p$ . Then suppose that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} (-1)^i \times (\frac{v^{-(i-1)} - v^{-i} \times v}{p}) \equiv 0 \mod p,$$

and search for a contradiction: multiplying by p

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} (-1)^i \times (v^{-(i-1)} - v^{-i} \times v) \equiv 0 \mod p^2,$$

expanded to

$$(-1+v^{-1}-v^{-2}+\cdots-v^{-(p-3)})+(v^{-1}v-v^{-2}v+\cdots+v^{-(p-2)}v)\equiv 0 \bmod p^2$$

also

$$(-1+v^{-1}-v^{-2}+\cdots-v^{-(p-3)})+(v^{-1}-v^{-2}+\cdots+v^{-(p-2)})v\equiv 0 \bmod p^2.$$

Let us set  $V = -1 + v^{-1} - v^{-2} + \dots - v^{-(p-3)} + v^{-(p-2)}$ . Then we get  $V - v^{-(p-2)} + v(V+1) \equiv 0 \mod p^2$ , and so  $V(1+v) + v - v^{-(p-2)} \equiv 0 \mod p^2$ . But  $v = v^{-(p-2)}$  and so  $V \equiv 0 \mod p^2$ . But

$$-V = 1 - v^{-1} + v^{-2} + \dots + v^{-(p-3)} - v^{-(p-2)} = S_1 - S_2$$
  

$$S_1 = 1 + v^{-2} + \dots + v^{-(p-3)},$$
  

$$S_2 = v^{-1} + v^{-3} + \dots + v^{-(p-2)}.$$

 $v^{-1}$  is a primitive root mod p and so  $S_1 + S_2 = \frac{p(p-1)}{2}$ . Clearly  $S_1 \neq S_2$  because  $\frac{p(p-1)}{2}$  is odd and so  $-V = S_1 - S_2 \neq 0$  and  $-V \equiv 0 \mod p^2$  with  $|-V| < \frac{p(p-1)}{2}$ , contradiction which achieves the proof.

# 4 Singular primary numbers and Stickelberger relation

In this section we give some  $\pi$ -adic properties of singular numbers A when they are primary. Recall that  $r, r^+, r^-$  are the ranks of the p-class groups  $C_p, C_p^-, C_p^+$ . Recall that  $C_p = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \Gamma_i$  where  $\Gamma_i$  are cyclic group of order p annihilated by  $\sigma - \mu_i$  with  $\mu_i \in \mathbf{F}_p^*$ .

## 4.1 The case of $C_p^-$

A classical result on structure of p-class group is that the relative p-class group  $C_p^-$  is a direct sum  $C_p^- = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r^+} \Gamma_i) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=r^++1}^{r^-} \Gamma_i)$  where the subgroups  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,r^+$  correspond to singular primary numbers  $A_i$  and where the subgroups  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $i=r^++1,\ldots,r^-$  corresponds to singular not primary numbers  $A_i$ . Let us fix one of these singular primary numbers  $A_i$  for  $i=1,\ldots,r^+$ . Let  $\mathbf{q}$  be a prime ideal of inertial degree f such that  $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^p$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\mathbf{q}$  be a prime not principal ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  of inertial degree f with  $Cl(\mathbf{q}) \in \Gamma \subset C_p^-$ . Suppose that the prime number q above  $\mathbf{q}$  verifies  $p \parallel q^f - 1$  and that A is a singular primary number with  $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^p$ . Then

$$(27) A \not\equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{2p-1}.$$

Proof.

1. We start of the relation  $g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} = \pm A^{P(\sigma)}$  proved in theorem 2.13. By conjugation we get  $\overline{g(\mathbf{q})}^{p^2} = \pm \overline{A}^{P(\sigma)}$ . Multiplying these two relations and observing that  $g(\mathbf{q}) \times \overline{g(\mathbf{q})} = q^f$  and  $A \times \overline{A} = D^p$  with  $D \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]$  we get  $q^{fp^2} = D^{pP(\sigma)}$ , so  $q^{fp} = D^{P(\sigma)}$  because  $q, D \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]$  and, multiplying the exponent by  $\sigma - v$ , we get  $q^{fp(\sigma-v)} = D^{P(\sigma)(\sigma-v)}$  so  $q^{fp(1-v)} = D^{pQ(\sigma)}$  from lemma 2.10 p. 10 and thus

$$q^{f(1-v)} = D^{Q(\sigma)}.$$

2. Suppose that  $\pi^{2p-1} \mid A-1$ . Then  $\pi^{2p-1} \mid \overline{A}-1$ , so  $\pi^{2p-1} \mid D^p-1$  and so  $\pi^p \mid D-1$  and so  $\pi^p \mid D^{Q(\sigma)}-1$ , thus  $\pi^p \mid q^{f(1-v)}-1$  and finally  $\pi^p \mid q^f-1$ , contradiction with  $\pi^{p-1} \parallel q^f-1$ .

In the following theorem we obtain a result of same nature which can be applied generally to a wider range of singular primary numbers A if we assume simultaneously the two hypotheses  $q \equiv 1 \mod p$  and  $p^{(q-1)/p} \equiv 1 \mod q$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\mathbf{q}$  be a prime not principal ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  of inertial degree f=1 with  $Cl(\mathbf{q}) \in \Gamma \subset C_p$ . Let A be a singular primary number with  $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^p$ . If  $p^{(q-1)/p} \equiv 1 \mod q$  then there exists no natural integer a such that

(29) 
$$A \equiv a^p \mod \pi^{2p}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A \equiv a^p \mod \pi^{2p}$  and search for a contradiction. We start of relation  $g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} = \pm A^{P(\sigma)}$  proved in theorem 2.13 p. 12. Therefore  $g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} \equiv \pm a^{pP(\sigma)} \mod \pi^{2p}$ , so

$$g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} \equiv \pm a^{p(v^{-(p-2)} + \dots + v^{-1} + 1)} \mod \pi^{2p},$$

SO

$$g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} \equiv \pm a^{p^2(p-1)/2} \mod \pi^{2p}$$
.

But  $a^{p^2(p-1)/2} \equiv \pm 1 \mod \pi^{2p}$ . It should imply that  $g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} \equiv \pm 1 \mod \pi^{2p}$ , so that  $g(\mathbf{q})^p \equiv \pm 1 \mod \pi^{p+1}$  which contradicts lemma 2.8 p. 9.

# 4.2 On principal prime ideals of $K_p$ and Stickelberger relation

The Stickelberger relation and its consequences on prime ideals  $\mathbf{q}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  is meaningful even if  $\mathbf{q}$  is a principal ideal.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $q_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  with  $q_1 \equiv a \mod \pi^{p+1}$  where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ . If  $q = N_{K_p/\mathbb{Q}}(q_1)$  is a prime number then  $p^{(q-1)/p} \equiv 1 \mod q$ .

*Proof.* From Stickelberger relation  $g(q_1\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p])^p\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = q_1^{P(\sigma)}\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  and so there exists  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]^*$  such that  $g(q_1\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p])^p = q_1^{P(\sigma)} \times \varepsilon$  and so

$$\left(\frac{g(q_1\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p])}{g(q_1\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p])}\right)^p = \left(\frac{q_1}{\overline{q_1}}\right)^{P(\sigma)}.$$

From hypothesis  $\frac{q_1}{q_1} \equiv 1 \mod \pi^{p+1}$  and so  $(\frac{g(q_1\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p])}{g(q_1\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p])})^p \equiv 1 \mod \pi^{p+1}$ . From lemma 2.8 p. 9 it follows that  $p^{(q-1)/p} \equiv 1 \mod q$ .

# 5 Stickelberger's relation for prime ideals q of inertial degree f > 1.

Recall that the Stickelberger's relation is  $g(\mathbf{q})^p = \mathbf{q}^S$  where  $S = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sigma^i v^{-i} \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$ . We apply Stickelberger's relation with the same method to prime ideals  $\mathbf{q}$  of inertial degree f > 1. Observe, from lemma 2.2 p. 5, that f > 1 implies  $g(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ .

**A definition:** we say that the prime ideal  $\mathbf{c}$  of a number field M is p-principal if the component of the class group  $Cl(\mathbf{c}) > \text{in } p$ -class group  $D_p$  of M is trivial.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let p be an odd prime. Let v be a primitive root  $mod\ p$ . Let q be an odd prime with  $q \neq p$ . Let f be the smallest integer such that  $q^f \equiv 1 \mod p$  and let  $m = \frac{p-1}{f}$ . Let  $\mathbf{q}$  be an prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  lying over q. If f > 1 then  $g(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  and  $g(\mathbf{q})\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^{S_2}$  where

(30) 
$$S_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{f-1} v^{-(i+jm)}}{p} \right) \times \sigma^i \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p].$$

Proof.

1. Let p = fm + 1. Then  $N_{K_p/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{q}) = q^f$  and  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}^{\sigma^m} = \cdots = \mathbf{q}^{\sigma^{(f-1)m}}$ . The sum S defined in lemma 2.5 p.7 can be written

$$S = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} \sigma^{i+jm} v^{-(i+jm)}.$$

2. From Stickelberger's relation seen in theorem 2.1 p. 5, then  $g(\mathbf{q})^p \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^S$ . Observe that, from hypothesis,  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}^{\sigma^m} = \cdots = \mathbf{q}^{\sigma^{(f-1)m}}$  so Stickelberger's relation implies that  $g(\mathbf{q})^p \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^{S_1}$  with

$$S_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} \sigma^i v^{-(i+jm)} = p \times \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{f-1} v^{-(i+jm)}}{p} \right) \times \sigma^i,$$

where  $(\sum_{i=0}^{f-1} v^{-(i+jm)})/p \in \mathbb{Z}$  because  $v^{-m} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ .

3. Let  $S_2 = \frac{S_1}{p}$ . From lemma 2.2 p. 5 we know that f > 1 implies that  $g(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ . Therefore

$$g(\mathbf{q})\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^{S_2}, \quad g(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p].$$

It is possible to derive some explicit congruences in  $\mathbb{Z}$  from this result.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let p be an odd prime. Let v be a primitive root  $mod\ p$ . Let q be an odd prime with  $q \neq p$ . Let f be the smallest integer such that  $q^f \equiv 1 \mod p$  and let  $m = \frac{p-1}{f}$ . Let  $\mathbf{q}$  be an prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  lying over q.

1. If f > 1 and if  $\mathbf{q}$  is not p-principal ideal there exists a natural integer  $l, 1 \le l < m$  such that

(31) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{f-1} v^{-(i+jm)}}{p} \right) \times v^{lfi} \equiv 0 \mod p,$$

2. If for all natural integers l such that  $1 \le l < m$ 

(32) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{f-1} v^{-(i+jm)}}{p} \right) \times v^{lfi} \not\equiv 0 \mod p,$$

then **q** is p-principal

Proof.

1. Suppose that **q** is not *p*-principal. Observe at first that congruence (31) with l=m should imply that  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}(\sum_{j=0}^{f-1}v^{-(i+jm)})/p)\equiv 0$  mod p or  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{j=0}^{f-1}v^{-(i+jm)}\equiv 0$  mod  $p^2$  which is not possible because  $v^{-(i+jm)}=v^{-(i'+j'm)}$  implies that j=j' and i=i' and so that  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{j=0}^{f-1}v^{-(i+jm)}=\frac{p(p-1)}{2}$ .

2. The polynomial  $S_2$  of lemma 5.1 annihilates the not p-principal ideal  $\mathbf{q}$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$  only if there exists  $\sigma - v^n$  dividing  $S_2$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$ . From  $\mathbf{q}^{\sigma^m - 1} = 1$  it follows also that  $\sigma - v^n \mid \sigma^m - 1$ . But  $\sigma - v^n \mid \sigma^m - v^{nm}$  and so  $\sigma - v^n \mid v^{nm} - 1$ , thus  $nm \equiv 0 \mod p - 1$ , so  $n \equiv 0 \mod f$  and n = lf. Therefore if  $\mathbf{q}$  is not p-principal there exists a natural integer l,  $1 \le l < m$  such that

(33) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{f-1} v^{-(i+jm)}}{p} \right) \times v^{lfi} \equiv 0 \mod p,$$

3. The relation (32) is an imediate consequence of previous part of the proof.

As an example we deal with the case  $f = \frac{p-1}{2}$ .

Corollary 5.3. If  $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$  and if  $f = \frac{p-1}{2}$  then **q** is p-principal.

*Proof.* We have  $f = \frac{p-1}{2}$ , m = 2 and l = 1. Then

(34) 
$$\Sigma = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{f-1} v^{-(i+jm)}}{p} \right) \times v^{lfi} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{(p-3)/2} v^{-2j}}{p} - \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{(p-3)/2} v^{-(1+2j)}}{p}.$$

 $\Sigma \equiv 0 \mod p \text{ should imply that } \sum_{j=0}^{(p-3)/2} v^{-2j} - \sum_{j=0}^{(p-3)/2} v^{-(1+2j)} \equiv 0 \mod p^2. \text{ But } \sum_{j=0}^{(p-3)/2} v^{-2j} + \sum_{j=0}^{(p-3)/2} v^{-(1+2j)} = \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \text{ is odd, which achieves the proof.} \qquad \square$ 

# References

- [1] K. Ireland, M. Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [2] H. Koch, Algebraic Number Theory, Springer, 1997.
- [3] R.A. Mollin, Algebraic Number Theory, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 1999.
- [4] W. Narkiewicz, Elementary and Analytic Theory of Numbers, Springer-verlag, 1990.
- [5] P. Ribenboim, 13 Lectures on Fermat's Last Theorem, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [6] P. Ribenboim, Classical Theory of Algebraic Numbers, Springer, 2001.
- [7] L.C. Washington, Introduction to cyclotomic fields, second edition, Springer, 1997.